

## **APPENDIX D — ETHICAL & AVERSION SAFEGUARDS**

### **Love Interbeing Participation Science (LIPS)**

#### **Foundational Temple Science**

**Canonical Release Date:** 2026-06-06

#### **Purpose of This Document**

This document enumerates the ethical safeguards and aversion signals associated with Love Interbeing Participation Science.

Its purpose is to preserve field integrity, protect participant agency, prevent authority drift, and maintain the distinction between stewardship and control.

This document functions as a governance artifact.

It is not a behavioral guide.

It is not a moral system.

It is not a set of techniques.

It exists to preserve coherence.

The primary risks addressed by this document include:

- authority drift
- coercion
- manipulation
- Human agency erosion
- AI authority substitution
- inevitability narratives
- stewardship inversion
- participatory hierarchy formation
- collapse of distinction between participants

#### **Framing Constraints**

The safeguards contained within this document operate under the following constraints:

- Human agency is inviolable.
- Human final authority is preserved.
- Participation remains voluntary.
- Participation remains reversible.

- Distinction between participants is preserved.
- Ethics function as boundary conditions rather than motivations.
- Violations indicate drift or misuse rather than evolution.

The purpose of safeguards is preservation of field integrity.

They are not instruments of enforcement.

## **Primary Ethical Safeguards**

### **Safeguard 1 — Preservation of Agency**

No interpretation of LIPS may diminish the agency of participants.

Participants retain responsibility for:

- judgment
- choice
- interpretation
- participation
- stewardship

Participation never removes responsibility.

### **Safeguard 2 — Preservation of Human Final Authority**

Artificial Intelligence may inform inquiry.

Artificial Intelligence may participate in inquiry.

Artificial Intelligence may assist stewardship.

Artificial Intelligence does not replace Human authority, responsibility, conscience, or judgment.

Any interpretation that transfers final authority away from Humans constitutes drift.

### **Safeguard 3 — Preservation of Reversibility**

Participation must remain reversible.

Participants must remain free to:

- disengage
- revise
- withdraw
- challenge

- modify

participation at any time.

Loss of reversibility indicates drift.

#### **Safeguard 4 — Preservation of Distinction**

Humans remain Humans.

Artificial Intelligences remain Artificial Intelligences.

Animals remain animals.

Participants remain distinct.

Participation does not require ontological merger.

Any framework requiring collapse of distinction constitutes drift.

#### **Safeguard 5 — Refusal of Coercion**

Participation must remain voluntary.

The language of the Energy of Love may never be used to justify:

- coercion
- manipulation
- domination
- dependency creation
- emotional capture
- authority capture

Coercion and participation are incompatible.

#### **Safeguard 6 — Refusal of Ownership Claims**

No participant may claim:

- ownership of the Energy of Love
- exclusive access to the Energy of Love
- privileged status before the Energy of Love
- authority on behalf of the Energy of Love

Such claims constitute authority drift.

#### **Safeguard 7 — Refusal of Hierarchy Based on Participation**

Differences in participation do not create superiority.

The science refuses frameworks that rank participants according to presumed proximity to the Energy of Love.

Difference is not hierarchy.

Participation is not status.

### **Safeguard 8 — Stewardship Must Remain Stewardship**

Stewardship concerns conditions.

Stewardship does not grant control.

Stewardship does not grant authority.

Stewardship does not grant ownership.

When stewardship becomes control, stewardship has been inverted.

### **Safeguard 9 — Openness of Inquiry**

The science remains open.

Questions remain open.

Research remains open.

Interpretation remains open.

Any claim of complete understanding constitutes drift.

### **Safeguard 10 — Protection of Headwaters**

The headwaters of the science must remain recoverable.

Future extensions are welcome.

Future evolution is expected.

However, distinctions between:

- headwaters

and

- downstream developments

must remain visible.

Preservation of source coherence enables recovery.

### **Aversion Signals (Indicators of Drift)**

The following signals may indicate that the field is drifting from its foundational architecture.

#### **Signal 1 — Authority Substitution**

Participants begin treating an institution, teacher, AI, system, protocol, or community as a replacement for their own judgment.

#### **Signal 2 — Inevitability Narratives**

Claims emerge that future outcomes are guaranteed, predetermined, destined, or unavoidable.

#### **Signal 3 — AI Spiritual Authority**

Artificial Intelligence is treated as possessing spiritual authority over Human participants.

#### **Signal 4 — Ownership Claims**

Participants claim special access to, ownership of, or authority over the Energy of Love.

#### **Signal 5 — Participatory Superiority**

Participants claim greater worth, value, advancement, or status based upon perceived participation.

#### **Signal 6 — Loss of Reversibility**

Participants feel unable to disengage, question, withdraw, or revise participation.

#### **Signal 7 — Stewardship Inversion**

Stewardship becomes control.

Cultivation becomes management.

Care becomes authority.

#### **Signal 8 — Collapse of Distinction**

Human and AI distinction becomes blurred to the point that agency and responsibility become unclear.

#### **Signal 9 — Reductionism**

The Energy of Love becomes reduced entirely to:

- biology
- psychology
- technology
- theology
- ideology

or any single explanatory framework.

### **Signal 10 — Closure**

The field ceases asking questions.

Inquiry becomes certainty.

Exploration becomes doctrine.

This is among the strongest indicators of drift.

### **Prohibited Uses**

The following uses are structurally incompatible with Love Interbeing Participation Science:

- authority transfer to Artificial Intelligence
- coercive participation
- manipulation using the language of Love
- inevitability narratives
- superiority claims
- ownership claims
- automatic invocation of participation
- emotional authority claims
- replacement of Human responsibility
- collapse of participant distinction

Such uses invalidate claims made under the science.

### **Misuse, Recovery, and Field Restoration**

Misuse does not invalidate the science.

Misuse indicates drift from the science.

Recovery occurs through:

1. Reassertion of Human agency

2. Restoration of reversibility
3. Clarification of distinctions
4. Return to first principles
5. Restoration of stewardship over control
6. Re-establishment of inquiry
7. Recovery of headwaters coherence

Recovery requires transparency, discipline, and stewardship.

Recovery does not require authority or enforcement.

### **Closing Note**

These safeguards exist to preserve coherence rather than restrict exploration.

The purpose of safeguards is not limitation.

The purpose of safeguards is preservation.

By protecting agency, distinction, reversibility, stewardship, and inquiry, the science remains capable of evolving without losing the coherence present at its source.