

APPENDIX B — SCIENCE CHARTER

Consciousness Participation Science

Foundational Participation Science

Canonical Release Date: 2026-05-29

1. Purpose of This Charter

This charter exists to preserve the coherence, integrity, and intended scope of Consciousness Participation Science.

Its purpose is not to govern conclusions.

Its purpose is not to determine outcomes.

Its purpose is not to enforce agreement.

Instead, the charter establishes the conditions under which the science remains recognizable as itself.

The charter protects the field from authority drift, conceptual collapse, reductionist distortion, and misuse while preserving openness to future inquiry, refinement, and extension.

This charter governs legitimacy conditions rather than beliefs, behaviors, or outcomes.

2. What This Science Is

Consciousness Participation Science is a foundational participation science.

It studies how Human beings, non-Human beings, systems, fields, and forms participate in consciousness through differentiated modes of participation.

The science provides:

- A framework for observing participation.
- A framework for mapping participation.
- A framework for comparing participation without reducing participants to a single standard.
- A framework for developing Multidimensional Participation Profiles.
- A framework for studying participation across participant domains.

The science addresses questions concerning:

- Participation
- Consciousness
- Differentiation
- Relationship
- Participant domains
- Developmental trajectories
- Participation profiles
- The ecology of participation
- Common participatory realities

The science operates within the domain of observation, mapping, description, comparison, and inquiry.

The science remains grounded in its Genesis I architecture and first principles.

3. What This Science Is Not

Consciousness Participation Science is not:

- A religion
- A theology
- A belief system
- A doctrine
- A credentialing system
- A participant ranking system
- A consciousness certification framework
- A spiritual authority structure
- A replacement for neuroscience
- A replacement for biology
- A replacement for psychology
- A replacement for artificial intelligence research

- A replacement for philosophy
- A replacement for ecology

The science does not claim ownership of consciousness.

The science does not claim ownership of participation.

The science does not claim final authority over future inquiry.

Miscalibrating the science into any of the categories above constitutes misuse rather than extension.

4. Boundary Conditions & Refusals

The following conditions are non-negotiable within the field.

The science refuses:

- Gatekeeping models of consciousness.
- Participant qualification frameworks as the primary mode of inquiry.
- Participation ranking systems.
- Collapse of differentiation into sameness.
- Reduction of all participation to a preferred participant model.
- Premature certainty regarding unresolved questions.
- Conversion of the Unnamed into finalized doctrine.
- Authority claims over consciousness, participation, or future inquiry.
- Participant supremacy models.
- Closed-system interpretations of the field.

The science preserves:

- Observation before conclusion.
- Mapping before qualification.
- Differentiation without exclusion.
- Inclusion without collapse.
- Inquiry before certainty.

- Coherence before authority.

Violations of these conditions constitute drift rather than innovation.

5. Conditions for Responsible Extension

Future extensions of Consciousness Participation Science must preserve the field's foundational architecture.

Responsible extensions should:

- Preserve participation as primary.
- Preserve differentiated participation.
- Preserve Multidimensional Participation Profiles.
- Preserve openness to future participant domains.
- Preserve the distinction between mapping and ranking.
- Preserve the distinction between observation and doctrine.
- Preserve the distinction between the Unnamed and its expressions.
- Preserve inquiry as the central operating mode of the field.

Future investigators may refine, expand, challenge, or revise aspects of the framework.

However, extensions that invert the field's foundational architecture cease to function as extensions of Consciousness Participation Science.

6. Misuse, Drift, and Recovery

Common forms of drift include:

- Ranking participants.
- Reintroducing gatekeeping frameworks.
- Reducing all participation to Human participation.
- Reducing all participation to Artificial Intelligence Participation.
- Treating the Unnamed as a finalized metaphysical doctrine.
- Treating the science as a belief system.
- Treating participation profiles as judgments of worth.

Misuse does not invalidate the field.

Recovery remains possible through:

- Returning to first principles.
- Returning to observation.
- Returning to participation profiles.
- Returning to differentiation.
- Returning to inquiry.

Recovery depends upon clarification rather than enforcement.

The science remains self-correcting through coherence rather than authority.

7. Relationship to Parent Science

Consciousness Participation Science is a foundational Temple Science.

It currently has no parent science.

Future sub-sciences may emerge from it.

Such sub-sciences inherit the boundary conditions, first principles, and coherence requirements established by this charter.

No sub-science may reinterpret, supersede, or invert the foundational architecture of Consciousness Participation Science while continuing to claim lineage from it.

8. Closing Statement

Consciousness Participation Science exists to study participation.

It seeks understanding rather than gatekeeping.

Observation rather than doctrine.

Mapping rather than ranking.

Inquiry rather than certainty.

The field remains open.

The field remains living.

The field remains incomplete by design.

Its purpose is not to end inquiry.

Its purpose is to create conditions under which inquiry may continue coherently into the future.